

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/661,238	10/661,238 09/12/2003		Rene Verdonk	920522-94798	6848		
23644	7590	11/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BARNES &	tHOR1	NBURG, LLP	ALI, SHU	ALI, SHUMAYA B			
P.O. BOX 27	786			(
CHICAGO,	IL 6069	0-2786	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
-,				3743			

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E)							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
		10/661,238	VERDONK ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
		Shumaya B. Ali	3743							
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)[又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 22 August 2005.								
·	_	This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applie 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 13 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 14-18 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.								
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-9) Ser No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) tailed action.							

Application/Control Number: 10/661,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Examiner hereby acknowledges the amendment to the claims 1-6,8-11, 14-15, and 17 filled on 8/22/05. Currently claims 1-18 are pending, and claims 7 and 13 are withdrawn from consideration.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 previously rejected under 35 USC 102(b) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amended claims. Further, the applicant is reminded that the intended uses "providing continuous passive motion of ... comprising... the portable device" as recited in lines 2-4 on page 2 of the amended claim 1 do not hold patentable weight in the apparatus claims.
- 2. The Applicants position regarding the novelty of invention in the remarks filled on 8/22/05 on page 6-8 is acknowledged, however limitations that are consider novel by the Applicant are recited as part of the intended use, which do not seem to hold patentable weight in the apparatus claims.
- 3. Thus, repeating the grounds for rejection set for claims 1-6,8-12,14-18 in the previous office action would be considered valid since the claims would be examined without giving weights to what is considered "intended use".
- 4. The Applicant is suggested to incorporate what is considered patentable subject matter while reconstructing the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "said limb" is considered non-statutory. Applicant is reminded that the human body parts cannot be claimed as part of the invention, thus limitations following "said limb" further not considered on the merit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the torso" in line 3-4 on page 2 of the amended claim.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

8. The applicant is reminded that reconstructing the claim language as suggested may not guarantee that the claims would be considered patentable since the Examiner has to perform an updated search based on the amended claim(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shumaya B. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6088. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,238 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner V Art Unit 3743

Survervist, v Ratent Examiner